**REMARKS** 

Applicants have carefully reviewed the Office Action dated May 16, 2007. Applicants

have amended Claims 1 and 31 and added new Independent Claim 48 to more clearly point out

the present inventive concept. Reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-18, 21, 23-24, 29 & 31-44 stand rejected under 35 U.S. C § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,310,554 to Carrell ("Carrell") in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,232,882 to Hed et al ("Hed"). This rejection is respectfully traversed with respect to the

presently presented claims.

Claims 1 and 31 have been amended to clarify that the electromagnetic receiver receives

both a time signal and signals from storm activity. The receiver is operable to receive both a

time signal transmitted and received on a fixed stable carrier frequency as well as the

electromagnetic signals produced by the lightning strikes. Both the time signal and the

electromagnetic signals produced by the lightning strikes are received on a narrow, stable

frequency. As such, the processor is operable to distinguish between the received time signal

and the received electromagnetic signal produced by the lightning strikes.

The *Carrell* reference utilizes a transducer sensor (see reference number 26 on Figure 1)

to detect changes in barometric pressure. Signals from the transducer sensor are sent to a

microprocessor to analysis. The microprocessor computes a four point derivative of the

barometric pressure measured over four minutes. When the derivative is negative, a low

pressure front is approaching. The microprocessor correlates the magnitude of the derivative

with a magnitude of the approaching storm. Carrell does not contain a teaching or suggestion

for reading electromagnetic energy produced from lightning. Thus, Carrell fails to disclose the

limitations as set forth in the currently presented Claims 1 and 31.

The Examiner is combining *Hed* to cure the deficiencies noted in *Carrell*. More

specifically, the Examiner is combining *Hed* with *Carrell* to teach a weather detection system

that may process and detect both electromagnetic signals produced by lightning strikes and

tornadoes. Hed teaches a tornado detection system that uses two RF detection circuits to detect

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Page 9 of 11

AM white noise generated by tornado activity. The receivers operate in parallel at a sampling

interval longer than the time it takes for a single lightning strike to occur but shorter than the

time typically between strikes in a given lightning event. Additionally, the receivers operate at a

frequency range of 30MHz to 60MHz. Thus, Hed is teaching a system designed to avoid

lightning detection.

As such, the combination of Carrell and Hed fails to teach "an electromagnetic receiver

that receives digital time signals and electromagnetic signals produced by lightning, wherein the

digital time signals are transmitted and received at a stable fixed carrier frequency and the

electromagnetic signals from the lightning are concentrated about the fixed carrier frequency."

(See Claim 1) Neither Carrell nor Hed, taken singularly or in combination, teaches the use of a

processor operable to distinguish the electromagnetic signals produced by lightning from the

time signals. Furthermore, Hed is teaching away from a system designed to detect severe

weather based on lightning. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the 35

U.S. C § 103(a) rejection of Claims 1 and 31.

Claims 2-18, 21, 23-24 and 29 depend from, and further limit, Claim 1. Claims 32-44

depend from and further limit Claim 31. These claims are allowable for at least the same reasons

as the claims from which they depend.

Claims 19-20 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Carrell in view of Hed in further

view of U.S. Patent No. 6,164,130 to Pabst et al ("Pabst"). This rejection is respectfully

traversed with respect to the currently presented claims.

Claims 19-20 depend from and further limit Claim 1 and are allowable for at least the

same reasons as Claim 1. The addition of Pabst does not cure the deficiencies of the Carrell-

Hed combination as noted hereinabove. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal

of the 35 U.S. C § 103(a) rejection of Claims 19-20.

Claims 22, 25-28, 30 & 45-47 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Carrell in view

of Hed in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,351,218 to Smith ("Smith"). This rejection is

respectfully traversed with respect to the currently presented claims.

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Page 10 of 11

Claims 22, 25-28 and 30 depend from, and further limit, Claim 1. Claims 45-47 depend

from, and further limit, Claim 31. These dependent claims are allowable for at least the same

reasons as the claims from which they depend. The addition of Smith does not cure the

deficiencies of the Carrell-Hed combination as noted hereinabove. Therefore, Applicants

respectfully request withdrawal of the 35 U.S. C § 103(a) rejection of Claims 22, 25-28, 30 &

45-47.

New Independent Claim 48 has been added to more clearly point out that the portable

weather detection device of the instant application is operable to receive an electromagnetic

signal and time information at 60KHz. The system is further operable to establish an alarm

threshold based upon a dynamic weighting of both changes in atmospheric pressure and received

electromagnetic signals produced by lightning. The primary purpose of this is to create a voting

function to determine if an alarm threshold has occurred.

Applicants have now made an earnest attempt in order to place this case in condition for

allowance. For the reasons stated above, Applicants respectfully request full allowance of the

claims as amended. Please charge any additional fees or deficiencies in fees or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-0780/LGRE-26,460 of HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted, HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P.

Attorneys for Applicants

/Gregory M. Howison, Reg. # 30,646/

Gregory M. Howison

Registration No. 30,646

GMH/dd

P.O. Box 741715

Dallas, Texas 75374-1715

Tel: 972-479-0462

Fax: 972-479-0464

August 13, 2007

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

Page 11 of 11